



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,083 | 04/08/2004 | Mathieu Lion | 25402-005 | 2211 |
| 32137 7590 11/10/2008 PATENT DOCKET CLERK COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C. 1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036 | | | | |
| EXAMINER NGUYEN, TUAN N | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3751 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 11/10/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/822,083

Applicant(s)

LION ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan N. Nguyen

Art Unit

3751

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan N. Nguyen.(3) Mark Montague.(2) Brian Buck.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: the prior art applied in the previous office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: There was a discussion that applicant's amendment after final filed 10/9/08 merely further defining the interior shape of the reservoir, which is a modification of that would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. There was a suggestion to further defining the rib extending the length of the handle having a hole at the closed end; however, further reconsideration and an updated search is needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan N. Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751

11/5/08